(66)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (s) No. 4252 of 2005

Applicants :1. O.P. Verma, Aged about 35 years, Assistant Teacher, Aashram Middle School, Dugli, Block Nagri,District Dhamtari (C.G.)
2. Nek Ram Sahu, aged about 31 years, Assistant Teacher, Aashram Middle School, Dugli, Block Nagri, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. Siya Ram Sori, aged about 30 years, Assistant Teacher, Kumhdakot
4. Yogendra Kumar Sahu, aged about 43 years, Assistant Teacher, Middle School Umargaon,
5. Shyamlal Sonwani, aged about 33 years, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Gidhwa,
6. Roop Singh Sahu, aged about 38 years, Assistant Teacher, Middle School, Kukrel,
7. Dehu Ram Sahu, aged about 44 years, Assistant Teacher, Middle School, Kareha,
8. Ankalu Ram Netam, aged about 34 years, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Mainchka,
9. Channu Lal Sahu, aged about 42 years, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Belargaon,
10. Mohit Ram Chandrakar, aged about 34 years, Assistant Teacher, Middle School, Kaspur,
11. Mohanlal Sahu, aged about 39 years, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Paraswani,

All are R/o Block Nagri, District Dhamtari (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :1. State of Chhattisgarh Through-Secretary/Commissioner Scheduled Caste, Scheduled Tribe, Other Backward Class and Minority Community Welfare Department, Raipur District Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Collector, Dhamtari, Office of the Collector (Tribal Welfare Department) Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (C.G.)
3. Chief Executive Officer, District Panchayat, Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (C.G.)

(67)

SB : Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: Mr. Aashutosh Dubey, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sushil Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 21st day of March, 2007)

1. The petitioners have filed this petition challenging the transfer order dated 30.8.01 (A/1). By virtue of the interim stay granted by the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal at Raipur, the petitioners are continuing at the original place of posting.

2. Be that as it may, with the passage of time this petition has become infructuous, as period of transfer is not applicable forever. At this point of time there is no question to examine the validity of the transfer order. However, the respondents are at liberty to pass appropriate orders, keeping in view the public interest and administrative exigency, without insisting on compliance of the impugned transfer order.

3. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

B/-